



Ranqlet

PROOF OF SKILL PLATFORM



Evaluation Band System

Product Requirements Document · v1 → v2

PURPOSE


Fair, trustworthy ratings — by design

The Evaluation Band System ensures every project on Ranqlet receives fair, high-quality, and trustworthy ratings. Instead of letting random ratings decide rankings, Ranqlet maintains a hidden quality benchmark — the **Evaluation Band** — that every community rating is measured against. The system launches with manual evaluation and evolves into an AI-powered backend evaluation engine.

Core idea: Ranqlet never overwrites a user's rating. Instead, it measures how closely a rating matches the expected quality band, and adjusts the **reviewer's trust** — not the project's score.


1 Phase 1 — Manual Evaluation Workflow

Every submitted project moves through four stages before it influences public rankings.



2 Assigning the Evaluation Band

Example: a moderator reviews "**Spotify UI Redesign**" and assigns a band of **5–8** — meaning the project deserves a community score somewhere in that range. Not lower than 5. Not higher than 8.



COMMUNITY RATINGS

How ratings meet the band

Once a project is public, community members rate it freely. Ranqlet never changes what they submit — it classifies each rating against the hidden band and rewards or penalizes the **reviewer's trust** accordingly.

EXAMPLE PROJECT	EVALUATION BAND
Spotify UI Redesign	5 – 8
User A 3 Outlier Rating Credibility Impact: -20 XP	User B 6 Accepted Credibility Reward: +5 XP
User C 7 Accepted Credibility Reward: +5 XP	User D 8 Accepted Credibility Reward: +5 XP

Why not overwrite ratings? Ranqlet should never fake community opinions — users always own their ratings. Instead, the system measures how trustworthy the reviewer is.

3 Reviewer Trust Score

Every user starts with a Reviewer Reputation of **100**. Good ratings raise it; repeated inaccurate ratings lower it — and with it, the reviewer's influence on future rankings.

 New User Weight = 1x	 Experienced Reviewer Weight = 2x	 Expert Reviewer Weight = 3x
---	---	--

Eventually, trusted reviewers influence project rankings more than random users.

RANKING ENGINE

Visibility, abuse detection & moderation

4 Visibility Algorithm

Visibility depends on multiple weighted signals — not on raw star ratings alone.

VISIBILITY SCORE

Community Rating + Reviewer Quality + Submission Quality + Engagement +
Completion Score

Rewarded with reach

- Good ratings
- Trusted reviewers
- High engagement

Reduced visibility

- Spam ratings
- Fake engagement

5 Abuse Detection

The system watches for rating patterns that signal manipulation rather than genuine review.

Rating every project as 10

Rating every project as 1

Rating friends only

Rating competitors poorly

Hundreds of ratings in minutes

XP Deduction

Warning

Rating Restriction

Account Suspension

6 Moderator Dashboard

View Project

Assign Band

Moderation Notes

Approve / Reject

Re-evaluate

Rating Distribution — "Spotify UI Redesign"

Band: 5–8

Hidden

Accepted ratings

3

Outlier ratings

1


Suspicious activity flagged

None

PHASE 2 · ROADMAP

AI Evaluation Band

Objective: replace manual evaluation with AI once sufficient training data exists. During Phase 1, thousands of manually reviewed projects — each with a human evaluation, a band, community ratings, and a final outcome — become the training dataset the AI learns from.



7 AI Evaluation Workflow

1 User uploads project

Screenshots, live URL, GitHub repository, description, and tech stack are submitted.

2 AI analyzes the submission

Product complexity, UX, UI consistency, innovation, and completeness are evaluated automatically.

3 AI predicts the Evaluation Band

Example prediction: **Band 6–9**

✓ Confidence 94%

4 Project publishes instantly

Low-confidence predictions are automatically routed to manual review instead of publishing instantly.

Human override, always. Moderators can approve the AI band, modify it, reject the prediction entirely, or send the project back for manual re-evaluation. AI never has final authority.

ROADMAP SUMMARY

Phase 1 vs. Phase 2 — at a glance

Continuous learning connects the two phases: every manual correction and every verified rating makes the AI model smarter over time.

Dimension	Phase 1 — Manual Evaluation	Phase 2 — AI Evaluation
Cost	No AI cost	Lower moderation cost
Control	Full human control	Consistent, scalable moderation
Trust	High trust from day one	Backed by verified training data
Speed	Manual review turnaround	Instant evaluations
Outcome	Builds the quality dataset	Faster publishing at scale

8 Continuous Learning Loop



Future Vision

The Evaluation Band becomes Ranqlet's core trust engine. Users never see the internal band, but they experience fairer rankings, reduced manipulation, and more reliable project discovery. The platform evolves from expert-led moderation to AI-assisted moderation — without changing the user experience — keeping trust at the center of the ecosystem.



Trust, built one evaluation at a time.

From expert-led moderation to AI-assisted scale — the Evaluation Band System keeps Ranqlet's rankings fair, its reviewers accountable, and its builders recognized for real quality.

BUILD · RANK · GET RECOGNIZED